

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/679,416 10/07/2003 Masahiro Katsumura Q77786 3480 **EXAMINER** 23373 7590 09/28/2006 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC VANORE, DAVID A 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 2881 DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 AL  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance.	10/679,416	KATSUMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A. Vanore	2881	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Au	iaust 2006		
_	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9 and 17</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9 and 17</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Untice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
2) ☐ Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Review (PTO-348)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/679,416 Page 2

Art Unit: 2881

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-9 and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 7-9 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohlen et al. (USPN 4,513,203) in view of Kato et al. (USPN 4,912,313).
- 4. Regarding claim 7, Bohlen et al. teaches a beam adjusting sample having a flat surface (Note Fig. 4I), having two adjacent orthogonal edges where a microstructure is attached to the surface of the sample (Note Mask structure corresponding to elements "M" in fig. 4I, or layer Item 47 in Fig. 4G), and a film of gold having high electron stopping power is coated on a surface of the microstructure (Item 41).
- 5. Bohlen et al. fails to teach that the microstructure includes latex balls.
- 6. Kato et al. teaches a beam adjusting sample having a microstructure thereon comprising latex balls coated with gold. (Col. 2)

Art Unit: 2881

- 7. Kato et al. modifies the prior art apparatus of Bohlen et al. to produce a beam adjusting sample having a microstructure including latex balls thereon with a material having high electron stopping power coated on said microstructure.
- 8. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include latex balls as part of the microstructure because such a structure advantageously prevents electrification of the sample, as set forth by Kato et al. at Col. 2. The rationale for obviousness is similarly applied to claims 8-9 and 17.
- 9. Regarding claim 8, Bohlen et al. further teaches that the sample is defined by two edges (Fig. 4I) and that a through hole penetrates in a thickness direction (Fig. 4I Item R).
- 10. Regarding claim 9, Bohlen et al. shows that two orthogonal edges are at an end of the beam adjusting sample in Fig. 4I.
- 11. Regarding claim 17, the through hole is formed at an angle of 90 degrees with respect to the surface of the sample in Fig. 4I.

#### Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Vanore whose telephone number is (571) 272-2483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David A Vanore Primary Examiner Art Unit 2881